Mysore

South. 30-27—65,000 31-10-50.

Confdl

REV (1950) Department.

Rt SERIES.

G.O. NO. 34

Dated 17-1-1950

Close

Sept dh

[Despatch Abstract.]

Public servants-(Belgaum) Sri. P. C. Rahman Inclusion in the List of Dy Tahsildar. Petition Rejected

Extract of Board's Ref.D.8797/49-4(C) dated 10.12.49.

Public Servants - (Bellary) Ratnam Sri P.C. - Inclusion in the list of Dy.Tahrs. - Petition to the Govt. - Submitted.

From Sri P.C.Ratnam, Petition dt.14.10.49.
From the Collector of Bellary, C.No.15462/49-A4 d/21..11.49

...

Reference:-

Submitted to the Govt.

x x x

3. The Board invited the attention of the Govt. to G.O. Rt.No.964 Rev. dated 15.11.48 in which the petition of Sri P.C.Ratnam was rejected. It has no further remarks to offer on the present petition.

Sd.V.Krishnamurthi Rao,
For Secy.

/True Copy/

From

Sri N.V.Sugavanam,
Collector, Bellary.

To
The Secretary to Board of Rev.,
Chepauk., M a d r a s.

C.No.15462/49(Confdl.)A.4 dated 21.11.49.

Sri,

Sub:- Public Servants - Ratnam (Sri P.C.)-Bellary district petition for inclusion in the list of deputy Tahsildars submitted.

...

Ref:-1. Petition dated 14.10.49 from Sri P.C.Ratnam
2. G.O. Rt.962 Rev. Dept. dated 15.11.48.
3. B.P.Rt.No.7794(Confdl.)dated 23.11.49.

I submit the petition of Sri P.C.Ratnam with his personal file in a sealed cover. The petitioner requests the Government to reconsider his case for inclusion of name in the approved list of Dy.Tahsildars for Bellary. In this connection kind attention is invited to G.O. Rt.No.962 Rev. Dept. dated 15.11.48 communicated with B,P.Rt.No.7794(Confdl)dated 23.11.48. The petitioner's case was rejected by the Government.

2. The petitioner is now 44 years old and is statutorily disqualified for inclusion in the approved list of Deputy Tansildar. He has evidently put in this petition with a view to obtain a recommendation from an out-going officer (Sri V.D.Masilamani)

3. I have no further remarks to offer.

/True Copy/

To

The Secretary to the Government,
Revenue Department,
Fort St. George,
Madras.

Through

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Madras.
The Collector, Bellary.
The Spl.L.A.Dy. Collector, (F.R.)Bellary.

Respected Sir,

Public services - I.A.S.S.Bellary Dt. Rathnam Sri (P.C.)inclusion in the list of Dy.Tahsildars - petition for reconsideration of orders submitted.

...

Ref:- G.O. Rout.962 - Revenue dt.15.11.1948.
B.P. Rout. 7794 (Confidential)dt.23.11.48.
Bellary Collectors' D.Dis.No.A-4-7187/48 (Confidential) dated 1.12.1948.

---

1. I beg to submit a petition for reconsideration of the orders passed by the Government.

2. I beg to submit that the benign Govt. in passing their orders cited above were pleased to observe that they were not prepared to interfere in any case for exemption of age limit and inclusion in the list of Dy. Tahsildars. As these orders have utterly thrown me into despair and as I do not find any other source to save myself from this helpless position, I am once again approaching the benign Government to crave for their mercy and help. I therefore humbly pray, that the Government would extend their mercy to save their subordinate from a miserable life and the dreaded mental agony that has to be undergone for a long period that has yet to serve the Government.

3. I politely submit that the refusal of the Government to interfere on my behalf leads me to believe that the Government entirely depended on the remarks contained in my personel file and also believed the adverseremarks as 'Gospel Truths'. I have recently submitted to the Collector my representation against these adverse remarks.

A kind perusal of my representation will reveal that I do not deserve to be burdended with those adverse remarks. Besides these so called drawbacks. I believe, I have acquired good remarks also from various Officers out of hard and sincere work. As a matter of fact it is very easy to get a bad remark but it is very difficult to earn a good remark. On a comparison of the fear unsubstantialed

p.t.o...

adverse remarks in a period of 21 years with those of good remarks acquired through hard toil for a number of years. I submit that these adverse remarks may kindly be expunged and due weight given to good remarks. I pray for a sympathetic view.

4. I have completed 21 years of service in the dept I worked in various capacities from that of a lower division clerk to that of A Dy. Tahsildar under Rule 3A of M.R.S.S. Rules being promoted successively to all the intermediary stages. These successive promotions reveal that I possess the required merit and ability to be promoted to these posts. I have worked in the cadre of Dy. Tahsildar from 30.5.46 till 30.6.47 with reversions now and then. As Dy. Tahsildar I worked in all special posts. I can assuredly submit that I excavated my duties sincerely and honestly without giving room for complaints by my superiors.

5. During this year, the Govt. were pleased to waive the age restriction in ~~xxxxxx~~ respect of 3 ~~persons~~ persons of this Dt. who are more older than myself and included in the list of Dy. Tahsildars. They are:-

1. Sri I. Krishnamurthy Naidu(N.B)
2. " H.Venkoba Rao (B)
3. " J.Thimmappa (N.B.)

The inclusion of numbers 1 and 2 appears to be on the ground that they worked in the cadre of Dy.Tahsildars under Rule 3A for a period of 2 years and No.3 on the point of Military service rendered by him. In this connection I beg to submit that I was appointed as Dy. Tahsildar under Rule 3 A of M.R.S.S. Rules in May 1946. Along with me 3 more persons were appointed in the same order. When the post which I held was retrenched, I was reverted, though I happend to be senior to the rest of the 3. In this manner from the date of my appointment to these posts I had to face reversions without any reasonable grounds irrespective of my service, sincere work etc; Finally I was denied a chance of appointment as Dy. Tahsildar in September 1948. I submitted an appeal petition against this denial to the Board of Revenue Madras. I got an endorsement from the Bd. of Rev. in their confidential Ref.No.D. 10825/48-3(c) dt.10.2.49. with the observation that the Bd. of Rev. do not see any reason to comply with my request. Thus it is seen that even my appeal petition for justice was thrown back. Under these circumstances I was made unable to continue in the cadre of Dy. Tahsildars for a period of 2 years as in the case of Nos.1 and 2. I submit that it is not on account of my folly or bad work that gave rise to these reversions but due to some other reasons unknown to me. I was not found fault with my work at any time during this period. As such I was entitled to be continued in these posts uninterrupted and had it been so, I could have also completed 2 years period as Dy. Tahsildar. I therefore submit that my case may kindly be treated on a par with these 2 persons for exemption

p.t.o....

from age limit and inclusion in the list of Dy. Tahsildars.

6. I beg to submit that I am a member of Christian community which is not adequately represented in this Dt. though it is also an advanced community. It has lost many chances in previous years. In this connection kind attention is invited to G.C.Ms.No.2078 dt. 26.8.48. which permits restoration of chances. According to communal representation also my case deserve sympathy. I therefore pray that it may kindly be considered favourably.

7. I submit that the excess period of age restriction, which I prayed for exemption was only a few months viz. about 5 months. In consideration of the long period that I have yet to serve the Govt. disappointment in life; in comparison with almost a negligible period of ecess of age limit which has stood in my way for inclusion in the ordinary course of nature. I pray that the Govt. would generously treat my pitiable case and save me from the clutches of dreaded mental agony. I have been toiling hard for this inclusion from 1946 and onwards, through representation of my case higher authorities. I therefore pray that my case may considered for inclusion in 1946 list.

8. I beg to submit that I have narrated my lamentable and thrown myself at the mercy of the benign Govt. for help. I shall be highly grateful and thankful for mercy shown to me.

/ True Copy/

Copy submitted direct to the Secretary Govt. Rev. Dept. Madras with a prayer for favour of kind necessary action.

Copy submitted direct to the Secy to the Bd. of Rev. Madras with a prayer for favour of necessary action.

Submitted to the Collector Bellary

2) I have offered my remarks about this indivisional in the divisional sheet (enclosed in a sealed cover)

V.D.Masilamani/14.10.49.
Special Dy.Collector.
Land acquisition (Famine roads Bellary)

Address:

P.C.Rathnam
Head Clerk.
Spl.L.A.Dy.Collector's office.
Famine Roads, Bellary.

/True Copy/

To,

The Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.
Madras.

Through

The Board of Revenue, Madras,

and Collector, Bellary.

am Spl.L.A.Dy.Collector, famine roads, Bellary.

Respected Sir,

Public services - Madras Ministerial service - Bellary Dt. - Rathnam Sri. P.C.inclusion - in the list of Dy. Tahsildars - petition reminder submitted.

Ref:-Petition of Sri P.C.Rathnam -Head clerk - Spl.L.A.Dy.Collector's Office - famine roads- Bellary - Dated 9.10.49.

...

I beg to invite your kind and gracious attention to my petition cited above and request the favour of issue of favourable orders at an early date.

I shall be highly thankful for the merciful consideration and issue of early orders.

/ true copy/

Copy submitted direct to the Government and Board of Revenue Madras for favour of information.

C.No.15462/49 A4.

Submitted to the Govt. Mro. the Bd. of Rev. Madras in continuation of my office C.No.15462/49A4(Confdl.) dt.22.11.49.

x x x
Collector.

Submitted to the Collr.
Bellary.

x x x

/True Copy/

N.Dis.D.193/50

Submitted to the Government in continuation of Board's Refce.D.9795/49-2(c) dt.1.12.49.

x x x

Addl. Secretary,
Bd. of Revenue.
Madras.

To

The Secy to the Govt.
R.D.

18/1/17

.....

Revenue Department.

Read: G.O. No.Rt.962 Rev. dated 15.11.48
From Sri P.C.Ratnam, petition dated 14.10.49.
From the Bd. of Rev. Ref.D.8797/49-4(C) dt.10.12.49

...

The petitioner is informed that the orders in G.O. No.Rt.962 Rev. dt.15.11.48 will not be reconsidered. Thepetition is rejected.

V.S.
10.1.50.

x x x
11.1.50

To the petitioner.
The Board of Revenue.
Copy to Board of Revenue
(with P.F.)

/ True Copy/

Confdl.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

Abstract.

Public Servants-(Bellary)-Sri P.C. Ratnam-Inclusion in the list of Deputy Tahsildars-Petition-Rejected.

- - - -